

GALILEAN THEORIES OF GRAVITATION

by

Roberto De Pietri¹, Luca Lusanna² and Massimo Pauri¹

¹ *Università di Parma - INFN, Gruppo Collegato di Parma, Parma, Italy*

² *I.N.F.N., Sezione di FIRENZE, Arcetri (FI), Italy*

Talk given by Roberto De Pietri

Summary: A generalization of Newtonian gravitation theory is obtained by a suitable limiting procedure from the ADM action of general relativity coupled to a mass-point. Three particular theories are discussed and it is found that two of them are invariant under an extended Galilei gauge group.

1. The general framework

Assuming the existence of a global 3+1 splitting of the space-time manifold, we consider the Einstein-Hilbert-De Witt action for the gravitational field plus a matter action corresponding to a single mass-point:

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_F + \mathcal{A}_M = \frac{c^3}{16\pi G} \int dt d^3z \sqrt{{}^3g} N \left[{}^3R + {}^3g^{ik} {}^3g^{jl} (K_{ij} K_{kl} - K_{ik} K_{jl}) \right] - mc \int d\lambda \sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu} x'^\mu x'^\nu} , \quad (1.1)$$

where we have adopted the standard ADM notations^[1] for the field part.

We want to do the non-relativistic limit of (1.1) in its most general fashion. By parametrizing the covariant metric tensor as:

$${}_4g_{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{vmatrix} -N^2 + {}^3g^{ij} N_i N_j & N_j \\ N_i & {}^3g_{ij} \end{vmatrix} \equiv -c^2 t_\mu t_\nu + \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} + O\left(\frac{1}{c^2}\right) , \quad (1.2)$$

(c = velocity of light), the inverse metric takes the form:

$${}_4g^{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{vmatrix} -\frac{1}{N^2} & \frac{{}^3g^{jk} N_k}{N^2} \\ \frac{{}^3g^{il} N_l}{N^2} & {}^3g^{ij} - \frac{{}^3g^{il} N_l {}^3g^{jk} N_k}{N^2} \end{vmatrix} \equiv h^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{c^2} \kappa^{\mu\nu} + O\left(\frac{1}{c^4}\right) . \quad (1.3)$$

^b Supported by INFN: Iniziativa specifica FI2

From the relation ${}^4g^{\mu\nu}{}^4g_{\nu\rho} = \delta_\rho^\mu$, we see that, at first order in $1/c^2$, the following identities are fulfilled:

$$h^{\mu\nu}t_\nu = 0 \quad , \quad h^{\mu\nu}\tilde{h}_{\nu\rho} = \delta_\rho^\mu - t_\rho\kappa^{\mu\nu}t_\nu . \quad (1.4)$$

Accordingly, in the limit $c \rightarrow +\infty$, we must identify $h^{\mu\nu}$ with so-called Newtonian *space metric* and $t_\mu t_\nu$ with so-called Newtonian *time metric* (see for example Refs.[2],[3],[4]).

On the basis of the geometrical assumption made above, we have to admit the existence of an *absolute time* (T) foliation of space-time and of a global coordinate system in which $t_\mu = T_{,\mu} = (\Theta(t), \vec{0})$. In such a system, $g_{\mu\nu}$ takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^4g_{\mu\nu} &= -c^2 T_{,\mu} T_{,\nu} + \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} \\ &\equiv -c^2 \begin{vmatrix} \Theta^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 2A_0 & A_j \\ A_i & g_{ij} \end{vmatrix} + \frac{1}{c^2} \begin{vmatrix} 2\alpha_0 & \alpha_j \\ \alpha_i & \gamma_{ij} \end{vmatrix} + \frac{1}{c^4} \begin{vmatrix} 2\beta_0 & \beta_j \\ \beta_i & \beta_{ij} \end{vmatrix} + O\left(\frac{1}{c^6}\right) , \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

and

$${}^4g^{\mu\nu} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g^{ij} \end{vmatrix} - \frac{1}{c^2 \Theta^2} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -g^{jk} A_k \\ -g^{ik} A_k & g^{ik} g^{jl} (\gamma_{kl} + A_k A_l) \end{vmatrix} + O\left(\frac{1}{c^4}\right) . \quad (1.6)$$

Thus, we are lead to identify the ADM variables as:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^3g_{ij} \equiv g_{ij} + \frac{1}{c^2} \gamma_{ij} + \frac{1}{c^4} \beta_{ij} + O\left(\frac{1}{c^6}\right) \\ {}^3R \equiv R + \frac{1}{c^2} R_1(g_{ij}, \gamma_{ij}) + \frac{1}{c^4} R_2(g_{ij}, \gamma_{ij}, \beta_{ij}) + O\left(\frac{1}{c^6}\right) \\ N_i \equiv A_i + \frac{1}{c^2} \alpha_i + \frac{1}{c^4} \beta_i + O\left(\frac{1}{c^6}\right) \\ N^2 \equiv c^2 \Theta^2 - 2A + \frac{2}{c^2} \left[\alpha_0 - g^{ij} \alpha_i A_j - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{rs} g^{ri} g^{sj} A_i A_j \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{c^4}\right) \\ NK_{ij} \equiv {}^3B_{ij} = B_{ij} + \frac{1}{c^2} B_{ij}^{(1)} + O\left(\frac{1}{c^4}\right) , \end{array} \right. \quad (1.7)$$

where we have defined:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= A_0 - \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} A_i A_j \\ B_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} [\nabla_i A_j + \nabla_j A_i - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t}] \\ B_{ij}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} [\nabla_i \alpha_j + \nabla_j \alpha_i - \frac{\partial \gamma_{ij}}{\partial t} - A_k g^{kl} (\nabla_j \gamma_{il} + \nabla_i \gamma_{lj} - \nabla_l \gamma_{ij})] . \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

We shall assume the zeroth-order term in the $1/c^2$ expansion of Eq.(1.1) as our *Galilean Action*. Precisely, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \equiv & \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int dt d^3z \sqrt{g} \left[\Theta R_2 + \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \gamma_{ij} \Theta R_1 + \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \beta_{ij} \Theta R \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \Theta \left(g^{ik} g^{jl} - \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g^{kl} \right) \gamma_{ij} \gamma_{kl} R - \frac{A}{\Theta} R_1 \\ & - \frac{1}{\Theta} \left(\alpha_0 - g^{ij} A_i \alpha_j + \frac{1}{2} A g^{ij} \gamma_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{ij} g^{il} g^{jm} A_l A_m \right) R - \frac{A^2}{2\Theta^3} R \\ & + \frac{2}{\Theta} g^{ik} g^{jl} (B_{ij} B_{kl}^{(1)} - B_{ik} B_{jl}^{(1)}) - \frac{2}{\Theta} g^{ik} g^{jr} \gamma_{rs} g^{sl} (B_{ij} B_{kl} - B_{ik} B_{jl}) \\ & \left. + \frac{A}{\Theta^3} g^{ik} g^{jl} (B_{ij} B_{kl} - B_{ik} B_{jl}) \right] \\ & + m \int d\lambda \frac{m}{\Theta t'} \left[\frac{1}{2} g_{ij} (x'^i + g^{ik} A_k t') (x'^j + g^{jl} A_l t') + A t' t' \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

We see that 27 fields survive the limiting procedure, namely Θ , A , A_i , g_{ij} , α_0 , α_i , γ_{ij} , β_{ij} , where we have used A as independent variable instead of A_0 .

2. Particular cases

2.1. Post-newtonian parametrization

The maximum of similarity to Newton's theory is achieved confining to a post-Newtonian-like parametrization defined by $\Theta = 1$, $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, $A_i = 0$ and $A = -\varphi$, so that:

$${}^4g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{vmatrix} -c^2 - 2\varphi + \frac{2\alpha_0}{c^2} & \frac{\alpha_i}{c^2} \\ \frac{\alpha_i}{c^2} & \delta_{ij} + \frac{\gamma_{ij}}{c^2} \end{vmatrix} . \quad (2.1)$$

The action (1.9) becomes:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int dt d^3z \left[\varphi R_1 + R_2 - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ij} \gamma_{ij} R_1 + m \left(\frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j - \varphi \right) \delta^3[\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}(t)] \right] . \quad (2.2)$$

The constraint analysis shows that the *secondary* constraints are just the Euler-Lagrange field equations, which determine the behaviour of the fields φ , γ_{ij} :

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\varphi(\mathbf{z}, T) &\equiv \frac{1}{16\pi G} R_1 - m \delta^3[\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}(t)] \simeq 0 \\ \chi_\gamma^{ij}(\mathbf{z}, T) &\equiv \frac{1}{16\pi G} \{ [\delta^{ir} \delta^{js} - \delta^{ij} \delta^{rs}] \partial_r \partial_s \varphi \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} [\delta^{ir} \delta^{js} - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ij} \delta^{rs}] \delta^{ab} [\gamma_{ab,rs} + \gamma_{rs,ab} - \gamma_{ar,sb} - \gamma_{as,rb}] \} \simeq 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

while the mass-point equations of motion are the standard Newton equations with potential φ , i.e., $m\ddot{x}^i = -\delta^{ik}\partial_k\varphi$. By performing the contraction $\delta_{ij}\chi_\gamma^{ij}$ and using the constraint $\chi_\varphi \simeq 0$, we obtain the Poisson equation for the potential $\varphi(\mathbf{z}, t)$, i.e.:

$$\delta^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j\varphi(\mathbf{z}, t) = 4\pi Gm\delta^3[\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}(t)] \quad . \quad (2.4)$$

2.2. A ten-fields theory

If we keep only the fields that explicitly interact with the mass-point, i.e., if we set $\alpha_0 = \alpha_i = \gamma_{ij} = \beta_{ij} = 0$, the action (1.9) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{A}} = & \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int dt d^3z \sqrt{g} \left[-\frac{A^2}{2\Theta^3} R + \frac{A}{\Theta^3} g^{ik} g^{jl} (B_{ij} B_{kl} - B_{ik} B_{jl}) \right] \\ & + m \int dt d^3z \frac{m}{\Theta} \left[\frac{1}{2} g_{ij} (\dot{x}^i + g^{ik} A_k) (\dot{x}^j + g^{jl} A_l) + A \right] \delta[\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}(t)] \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

It can be easily shown that the field $\Theta(t)$ lacks real dynamical content. Actually, its role amounts only to a redefinition of the evolution parameter t in the expression $T(t) = \int_0^t d\tau \Theta(\tau)$, which, in turn, has to be identified to Newtonian *absolute time*. Indeed, if we redefine the fields A , A_0 , A_i and B_{ij} as:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{A}_0 \equiv \frac{A_0}{\Theta^2} \quad ; \quad \tilde{A} \equiv \frac{A}{\Theta^2} \quad ; \quad \tilde{A}_i \equiv \frac{A_i}{\Theta} \\ \tilde{B}_{ij} \equiv \frac{B_{ij}}{\Theta} = \frac{1}{2} [\nabla_i \tilde{A}_j + \nabla_j \tilde{A}_i - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial T}] \quad , \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

the total action (2.5) can be rewritten in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{A}} = & \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int dT d^3z \sqrt{g} \left[-\frac{\tilde{A}^2}{2} R + \tilde{A} g^{ik} g^{jl} (\tilde{B}_{ij} \tilde{B}_{kl} - \tilde{B}_{ik} \tilde{B}_{jl}) \right] \\ & + m \int dT d^3z \left[\frac{1}{2} g_{ij} \left(\frac{dx^i}{dT} + g^{ik} \tilde{A}_k \right) \left(\frac{dx^j}{dT} + g^{jl} \tilde{A}_l \right) + \tilde{A} \right] \delta[\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}(T)] \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where Θ has disappeared. Then, the Dirac Hamiltonian is:

$$\begin{aligned} H_D = & \int d^3z \left\{ \frac{\tilde{A}^2}{32\pi G} \mathcal{H}_I + \frac{16\pi G}{\tilde{A}} \mathcal{H}_E + \left[\frac{1}{2m} g^{ij} p_i p_j - m \tilde{A} \right] \delta[\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}(T)] \right\} \\ & + \int d^3z \left[\tilde{A}_i g^{ij} \phi_j + \pi^i \lambda_i + \pi_A \lambda_A \right] \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where π^{ij} , π^i , π_A are the conjugate fields of g_{ij} , $\tilde{A}_i$, $\tilde{A}$, respectively, and $\mathcal{H}_I = \sqrt{g}R$, $\mathcal{H}_E = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}[\text{Tr}\pi^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\text{Tr}\pi)^2]$, $\phi_j = -2g_{jk}\nabla_l\pi^{kl} - p_j\delta[\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}(T)]$. The constraints' chains are:



Fig. ten-fields constraints' chains

where the arrows denote requirement of time-conservation of the constraints^{*}. Thus, the fields A_i and *three* independent functionals of g_{ij} are *gauge* variables, while $\tilde{A}$ is determined by the constraint $\chi_A \simeq 0$. The surviving physical degrees of freedom correspond to *three* independent functionals of g_{ij} .

It is worth considering the particular solution of the variational problem corresponding to the *static sector*, defined by $A_k = 0$, $\frac{dg_{ij}}{dT} = 0$, $\frac{dx^i}{dT} = 0$. The consistency of the constraints' chains, in this case, implies that the field $\tilde{A}$ satisfies the following *modified Poisson equation*:

$$\sqrt{g}g^{ij}\nabla_i\nabla_j\tilde{A}^2 = 4\pi Gm\tilde{A}\delta[\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}(T)] . \quad (2.9)$$

It is remarkable that, under the assumption that $\tilde{A} = \tilde{A}(r)$ and $g_{ij} \rightarrow \delta_{ij}$ for $r = |\vec{z} - \vec{x}(T)| \rightarrow \infty$, the field $\tilde{A}$, at fixed T , has the asymptotic expansion

$$\tilde{A} = \frac{k_1}{r} + \frac{k_2}{r^2} + \dots \quad (2.10)$$

2.3. An eleven-fields theory

The action for this case is given by the expression (2.5) where now Θ is allowed to be a function depending also on the space coordinate $\vec{z}$: $\Theta = \Theta(\vec{z}, t)$. This has

^{*} The explicit expression of the constraints can be found in Ref.[5]

the effect that the resulting theory has the same number of degrees of freedom of Einstein's theory. Although the function $\Theta(\vec{z}, t)$ cannot be rescaled in this case, the corresponding additional degree of freedom, which is a classical analogue of the *dilaton*, does not have any propagation properties.

The Dirac Hamiltonian is:

$$H_D = \int d^3z \Theta \left\{ \frac{\tilde{A}^2}{32\pi G} \mathcal{H}_I + \frac{16\pi G}{\tilde{A}} \mathcal{H}_E + \left[\frac{1}{2m} g^{ij} p_i p_j - m\tilde{A} \right] \delta[\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}(T)] \right\} \quad (2.11)$$

$$+ \int d^3z \left[\tilde{A}_i g^{ij} \phi_j + \pi^i \lambda_i + \pi_A \lambda_A + \pi_\Theta \lambda_\Theta \right] ,$$

where π^{ij} , π^i , π_A , π_Θ are the conjugate fields of g_{ij} , $\tilde{A}_i$, $\tilde{A}$, Θ , respectively, and $\mathcal{H}_I$, $\mathcal{H}_E$, ϕ_j have the same functional form as before. The constraints' chains are now:



Fig. eleven-fields constraints' chains

The fields A_i and *three* independent functionals of g_{ij} are still *gauge* variables. On the other hand, despite the additional degree of freedom introduced by Θ , we have now two more pairs of *second-class* constraints. Therefore only two dynamical *graviton-like* degrees of freedom survive in the three-metric g_{ij} .

3. Gauging the Extended Galilei group

Within the re-parametrization invariant formulation of the non-relativistic free mass-point system with coordinates $t(\lambda)$, $x^i(\lambda)$, the action and the Lagrangian are:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_M = \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} d\lambda \quad L_M(\lambda) = \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} d\lambda \quad \frac{1}{2} m \frac{\delta_{ij} x'^i(\lambda) x'^j(\lambda)}{t'(\lambda)} , \quad (3.1)$$

where $f'(\lambda) \equiv \frac{d}{d\lambda}f(\lambda)$. The infinitesimal Galilei transformations, defined as “equal- λ ” ones (i.e., $\delta\lambda = 0$), are:

$$\begin{cases} \delta t = -\varepsilon \\ \delta x^i = \varepsilon^i + c_{jk}^i \omega^j x^k - t v^i \equiv \eta^i \end{cases} . \quad (3.2)$$

Under the transformations (3.2), it follows:

$$\delta L_M = \frac{d}{d\lambda} [-m \delta_{ij} v^i x^j] , \quad (3.3)$$

which shows that the *quasi-invariance* of the Lagrangian is an effect of the *central-charge* term.

The infinitesimal *gauge* Galilei transformations are defined by allowing the following space-time dependence for the infinitesimal parameters of (3.2): $\varepsilon(t)$, $\varepsilon^i(\mathbf{x}, t)$, $\omega^j(\mathbf{x}, t)$, $v^i(\mathbf{x}, t)$. Accordingly, the mass-point coordinates transform as:

$$\begin{cases} \delta t = -\varepsilon(t) \\ \delta x^i = \eta^i(\mathbf{x}, t) \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \delta t' = -t' \frac{d\varepsilon(t)}{dt} \\ \delta x'^i = x'^k \frac{\partial \eta^i(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial x^k} + t' \frac{\partial \eta^i(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial t} \end{cases} . \quad (3.4)$$

To save *quasi-invariance* of a new putative matter Lagrangian L_M^g under the *gauge* transformation (3.4), *compensating* fields must be introduced. If, in addition, a global flat limit of fields' expressions and transformation properties (including the *central charge term*) is required, the whole set of conditions are satisfied by the following choices:

$$L_M^g(\lambda) \equiv \frac{1}{\Theta t'} \frac{m}{2} \left[g_{ij} x'^i x'^j + 2A_i x'^i t' + 2A_0 t' t' \right] , \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \delta \Theta = \dot{\varepsilon}(t) \Theta(t) \\ \delta g_{ij} = -\frac{\partial \eta^k(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial x^i} g_{kj} - \frac{\partial \eta^k(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial x^j} g_{ki} \\ \delta A_i = \dot{\varepsilon} A_i - A_j \frac{\partial \eta^j}{\partial x^i} - g_{ij} \frac{\partial \eta^j}{\partial t} + \Theta \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial x^i} \\ \delta A_0 = 2\dot{\varepsilon} A_0 - A_i \frac{\partial \eta^i}{\partial t} + \Theta \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t} \end{cases} , \quad (3.6)$$

where $\mathcal{F} = -g_{ij} v^i x^j$. Indeed

$$\delta L_M^g = m \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{d\lambda} . \quad (3.7)$$

4. Local Galilei invariance

Unlike the theory corresponding to the post-Newtonian parametrization, both the ten-fields and eleven-fields theories admit the just defined *gauge* Galilei transformations as a *local symmetry*. Indeed, for both theories, the variation of the total action under the transformations of the mass-point coordinates and *gauge* fields (3.4),(3.6), is given by ($\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \int dt d^3z \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\Gamma = \text{Tr} B^2 - (\text{Tr} B)^2$) :

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \tilde{\mathcal{A}} &= \int dt d^3z \left\{ \dot{\varepsilon} \tilde{\mathcal{L}} + \left[\frac{1}{16\pi G} \frac{\sqrt{g}}{\Theta^2} (-AR + \Gamma) + m\delta[\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}(t)] \right] \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t} - A_r g^{rs} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial z^s} \right] \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8\pi G} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \left(\sqrt{g} \frac{A}{\Theta^2} [B^{ij} - (\text{Tr} B) g^{ij}] \right) + \sqrt{g} \frac{A}{\Theta^2} [B^{rs} - (\text{Tr} B) g^{rs}] \Gamma_{rs}^i \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - m\delta[\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}(t)] (\dot{x}^i + g^{ij} A_j) \right] \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial z^i} + \frac{1}{8\pi G} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \left(\frac{\sqrt{g} A}{\Theta^2} [B^{ij} - (\text{Tr} B) g^{ij}] \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial z^j} \right) \right\} \\ &= \int dt d^3z \left\{ \dot{\varepsilon} \tilde{\mathcal{L}} + \Theta \mathcal{E} \mathcal{L}_A \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t} - A_r g^{rs} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial z^s} \right) + \Theta \mathcal{E} \mathcal{L}_{A_i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial z^i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{8\pi G} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \left(\frac{\sqrt{g} A}{\Theta^2} [B^{ij} - (\text{Tr} B) g^{ij}] \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial z^j} \right) \right\} , \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathcal{E} \mathcal{L}_A$ and $\mathcal{E} \mathcal{L}_{A_i}$ are the Euler-Lagrange derivatives that are zero on the extremals. In conclusion, the total action is *quasi-invariant* under the considered transformations *in force* of the equations of motion. This feature is precisely what it should be expected in the case of a variational principle corresponding to a singular Lagrangian.

References

- [1] ARNOWITZ, DESER, C.W. MISNER, *The dynamics of general relativity*, in: Gravitation: an introduction to current research (John Wiley & Sons, New York 1962)
- [2] K. KUCHAR, *Phys. Rev. D* **22** (1980) 1285
- [3] H.P. KÜNZLE, *Ann. Inst. Henry Poincaré* **42** (1972) 337
- [4] G. DAUTCOURT, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **25** (1964) 637
- [5] R. DE PIETRI, L. LUSANNA AND M. PAURI, *Generalized Newtonian gravities as "gauge" theories of the extended Galilei Group*, University of Parma preprint UPRF-92-346 (1992)